the Minimum Wages Act, 1948. The responsibility for enforcement of the provisions of Minimum Wages Act in respect of the scheduled employments falling in the State sphere lies on the State Governments. All the State Governments have got the enforcement machinery for this purpose and action against the defaulting employers, as per the provisions of Minimum Wages Act. 1948 is taken by the State Governments as and when any contravention comes to their notice.

(bl and *(c)* The Studies conducted by Labour Bureau an organisation under the Ministry of Labour have revealed that inadequacy of personnel for the enforcement machinery and inadequacy of transport facility to them, multiplicity of assignments to enforcement personnel and risk to life are some of the reasons for lack of proper implementation of the Minimum Wases Act, 1948.

(d) The Central Government have been impressing upon *the* State Governments from time to time regarding the urgent need f°r strengthening the machinery for effective enforcement of the (provisions of the Minimum Wages Act. through various National' Tripartite Bodies like Indian Labour Conference and State Labour Ministers* Conference etc,

Representation from Mazdoor* engaged in LPG bottling plant

487. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be nleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Chief Labour Commissioner Central. Delhi, has received a representation dated 5-2-92 from Unorianissd Mazdoors ensRged in LPG Bottling Plant. IOC Limited. SiTchhi Pind Jalandhar (Punjab) reganding non-implementation of Va«ndevan Committee's recommendations on LPG safety:

C~) if so, the details thereof;

(c) what steps have been taken to investigate the same-, and

(d) what remedial steps have been taken in the matter?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI PABAN SING GHATOWAR): (a) Yes. Sir.

(b) (i) It is alleged the Contract Workers are not being provided the sta tutory benefits as provided under the Con tract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act. 1970; ,

(ii) Adequate safety measures as recommended by Vasudevan Committee are not implemented in the LPG Plants;

(iii) Non implementation of Employees' State Insurance Act, Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous provisions Act. 1952 and Minimum Wages Act.

,

(c) and (cl) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table °f the House.

Increasing of ceiling of income, of workers forgoing to Labour Courts

488 SHRI RAMACHANDRAN PILLAI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Labour Courts entertain cases of workers drawing emoluments amounting to Rs. 1600/- per month;

(b) whether Government are aware that due to various reasons like inflation and erosion of rupee value, the salaries of even the lowest paid worker exceeds Rs. 1600/- in many cases;

(c) whether in view of the above Government propose to increase the ceiling of incom- of workers at 4 times the present limit of Rs. 1600/- so that workers can approach labour courts; and

(d) if the reply to part (c) above be in the negative, what are the reasons there for'[1]

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI PABAN SING GHATOWAR): (a) to (d) The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 under which Industrial Tribunals and Labour Courts